

REMARKS

The Office Action has been carefully reviewed. Claims 6-9 are allowed. Claim 19 also presently appear in this application and define patentable subject matter warranting their allowance. Reconsideration and allowance are hereby respectfully solicited.

Claim 19 has been objected to because of informalities. The typographical error "win" in line 19 is corrected to "with". Regarding the objections to lines 8 and 11, the "±" symbol appears in the claim but for some reason was unclear on the scanned copy. A larger font for "±" is used to clearly show this symbol in claim 19.

This objection is obviated by the amendments and the use of a larger font for the "±" symbol.

Claim 19 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirements. The previous amendment to claim 19 inadvertently omitted referring to the "fragment" along with the polypeptide as having all the listed physicochemical properties. This advertent oversight is now corrected, thereby obviating this rejection.

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection are therefore respectfully requested.

Appln. No. 09/716,356
Amd. dated June 19, 2006
Reply to Office Action of June 13, 2006

In view of the above, the claims comply with 35 U.S.C.
§112 and define patentable subject matter warranting their
allowance. Favorable consideration and early allowance are
earnestly urged.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.
Attorneys for Applicant

By /ACY/_____
Allen C. Yun
Registration No. 37,971

ACY:pp
Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197
Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528
G:\BN\S\SUMA\USHIO2\pto\2006-06-19amd_after_final.doc